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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Immediate Breast Reconstruction (IBR) is an additional surgical procedure that may increase postoperative complications (such as flap necrosis, infection, and hematoma) and delay the initial time for adjuvant chemotherapy in some patients. In this systematic and meta-analysis, we provide overall survival rates of patients who underwent mastectomy with and without IBR.

METHODS
The following databases were systematically searched between 2015 to 2019 without language restrictions in PUBMED, EMBASE, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library. In addition, the relevant references in the list of all included articles were also checked. The search term included “breast cancer” and “breast reconstruction” “mastectomy”.

RESULTS
The sample size was a range from 339 to 5644 patients. The median age was 46.3 years. The results showed no significant differences in terms of overall survival between two groups.

CONCLUSION
The results showed that IBR after mastectomy did not affect the overall survival.
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INTRODUCTION
Breast cancer is the leading cause of cancer and death in women worldwide.¹ Its surgical approach has become less and less mutilating in the last decades. However, the overall number of breast reconstructions has significantly increased lately.² Nowadays, breast reconstruction should be individualized at its best, first of all taking into consideration not only the oncological aspects of the tumor, neo-/adjuvant treatment, and genetic predisposition, but also its timing (immediate versus delayed breast reconstruction), as well as the patient’s condition and wish.³ Breast cancer is the leading cause of cancer death among women.
Breast reconstruction after cancer mastectomy worldwide with ~1.7 million new diagnoses and 521,900 deaths in 2012.4,5 One important modality of breast cancer therapy is surgical treatment, which has become increasingly less mutilating over the last century.6,7 Mastectomy aims at resecting as much breast tissue as possible, knowing that glandular tissue will almost always remain in the region of the inframammary fold.8 Breast reconstruction after mastectomy is oncologically safe and is associated with high satisfaction and improved psychosocial outcomes.9 Although the rates of major complications after immediate reconstruction are greater than after mastectomy alone, clinically significant delays in the receipt of adjuvant therapy after immediate reconstruction have not been found.10 Breast reconstruction (BR) is an option for women who are treated with mastectomy; however, there has been concern regarding the oncologic safety of BR.11 Breast reconstruction is dependent primarily on the type of mastectomy and may be classified in various ways, such as reconstruction type and reconstruction time point. Breast reconstruction is a surgical procedure that restores shape to breast after mastectomy surgery that removes breast to treat or prevent breast cancer.12 Breast reconstruction surgery aims to restore the appearance of a natural breast after a mastectomy and can help a woman look and feel better. Many women find that breast reconstruction significantly improves their self-image, self-confidence and quality of life. On the practical side, breast reconstruction eliminates the need for external artificial prostheses, which can sometimes be uncomfortable to wear.13 The latter includes delayed breast reconstruction (DBR; secondary breast reconstruction) and immediate breast reconstruction during the same surgery (IBR; primary breast reconstruction).3 IBR is advantageous over DBR, because it decreased the total number of surgical procedures and the risks therein. Breast reconstruction surgery is the creation of a new breast shape, or mound, using surgery. IBR is an additional surgical procedure, it may increase postoperative complications (such as flap necrosis, infection, and hematoma) and delay the initial time to adjuvant chemotherapy in some patients.14 It may be done after removal of a whole breast (mastectomy) or part of the breast (breast-conserving surgery).15 Nonetheless, IBR is advantageously associated with a reduced recovery time, a better esthetic outcome, an improved quality of life, lower surgery, and recovery related costs.12,16,17 In this systematic and meta-analysis, we provided overall survival between patients who underwent IBR after mastectomy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search Strategy

The following databases were systematically searched between years 2015 to 2019 without any language restrictions in PUBMED, EMBASE, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library. In addition, the relevant references in the list of all included articles were also checked. The search term included “breast cancer”, “breast reconstruction” and “mastectomy”. In the preliminary study, 145 studies were selected which were selected by studying the titles of the most relevant studies (n=50). Fifty studies were conducted, and studies were conducted with the aim of the study (n=25). Then, the full text of 25 studies was studied. Finally, five studies that were included in the study were selected and evaluated (Figure 1).

Study Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria were involving retrospective or prospective studies, patients with a diagnosis of breast cancer, and breast reconstruction after mastectomy. Exclusion criteria were involving reviews without original data, meta-analysis, case reports, and studies lacking control group.

Data Extraction

We recorded the following information for each study according to a prespecified protocol: author, year, study demographics, number and characteristics of participants, duration of follow-up, end-point data.

Statistical Analysis

We analyzed the data by the stata 14 software that was expressed risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI).

RESULTS

The sample size was a range from 339 to 5644...
patients. The median age was 46.3 years. Table 1 shows the data recorded and documented. A total of five studies reported data on the overall survival of advanced breast cancer patients and two studies reported data on the disease-free survival. There was no statistically significant heterogeneity between studies (Tables 2 and 3). The comprehensive therapy for breast cancer significantly changed over the last decade, and would clearly have an impact on survival and disease recurrence. The increased screening and means for detection of early disease and identification of patients with BRCA genes and genetic predispositions have also changed which would also impact survival and recurrence. Therefore, studies published after 2015 were assessed, and the results did not show any significant differences in terms of overall survival between two groups. Figure 2 showed Forest plot of overall survival.

DISCUSSION

The present meta-analysis indicated that patients treated with breast reconstruction after mastectomy in women with breast cancer were comparable in terms of overall survival. Breast reconstruction is an option for women who are treated with mastectomy; however, there has been concern regarding the oncologic safety of BR. Two studies design were retrospective cohort study,12,10 Two studies design were case control study,13,14 and one study was prospective cohort study.15

Patients who received immediate breast reconstruction or mastectomy alone followed by PMRT, except in patients who were younger than 50 years of age. These younger patients appeared to have a survival advantage after immediate breast reconstruction. The survival outcomes are in relation to immediate breast reconstruction followed by PMRT for both the physicians and the patients.18 There are comparable survival outcomes compared to mastectomy alone, immediate post mastectomy reconstruction had limited advantage in survival after adjusting for confounding factor of family income. LABC patients who received immediate breast reconstruction or mastectomy alone followed by PMRT, except in patients who were younger than 50 years of age. These younger patients appeared to have a survival advantage after immediate breast reconstruction.19,20

Compared to mastectomy alone, immediate post mastectomy reconstruction had limited advantage in survival after adjusting for confounding factor of family income, if validated in other large databases, may help to illustrate the actual effect.
It was shown that patients for whom implant-based reconstruction is available, immediate implant reconstruction does not increase the risk of lymphedema compared to mastectomy alone. It was demonstrated that women who undergo total mastectomy and breast reconstruction for cancer achieve a quality-of-life outcome that is at least as good as that following breast-conserving surgery.

Table 1: The findings and results of the studies that entered the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result of study</th>
<th>Apparent survival benefit</th>
<th>Irrespective of the adjuvant treatment</th>
<th>Complication risks after immediate BR</th>
<th>Mastectomy and BR for cancer</th>
<th>Implant-based reconstruction compared to mastectomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Overall survival</td>
<td>Overall survival</td>
<td>Overall survival</td>
<td>Overall survival</td>
<td>Disease-free survival, overall survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow up median</td>
<td>Mastectomy alone</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph-node stage (I/II/III/IV)</td>
<td>Mastectomy alone</td>
<td>324/267/167</td>
<td>41/16/48</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age median</td>
<td>Mastectomy alone</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients (n)</td>
<td>Mastectomy alone</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>RCS</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CC: Case control study; RCS: Retrospective cohort study; PCR: Prospective cohort study; IBR: Immediate breast reconstruction

Table 2: Overall survival in to groups (without reconstruction and breast reconstruction)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Overall survival (without reconstruction)</th>
<th>Overall survival (breast reconstruction)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platt et al., 2015</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aurilio et al., 2015</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jagsi et al., 2016</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>74.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howes et al., 2019</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>57.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller et al., 2016</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Heterogeneity Chi-Square

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>ES</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Weight (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platt et al., 2015</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>-35.59 - 150.59</td>
<td>35.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aurilio et al., 2015</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>-86.31 - 225.31</td>
<td>12.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jagsi et al., 2016</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>-93.91 - 198.51</td>
<td>14.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howes et al., 2019</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>071.48 - 155.48</td>
<td>23.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller et al., 2016</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-124.95 - 172.95</td>
<td>13.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I-squared (variation in ES attributable to heterogeneity) = 0.0% Test of ES = 0, z = 1.77, P = 0.077

of immediate post mastectomy reconstruction on patient survival. It was shown that patients for whom implant-based reconstruction is available, immediate implant reconstruction does not increase the risk of lymphedema compared to mastectomy alone.
Furthermore, breast conservation has been found to be associated with lower physical well-being (i.e., more pain and discomfort) in the chest area and poorer sexual well-being outcomes.\textsuperscript{23,24} Overall survival, disease-free survival, and surgical site infection in patients who underwent IBR after mastectomy versus mastectomy alone, and the results demonstrated that there were no significant differences between IBR after mastectomy and mastectomy alone in overall survival, disease-free survival and local recurrence.\textsuperscript{25}

The results revealed that patients who underwent IBR after mastectomy were associated with a significantly higher risk of surgical site infection. IBR patients exhibited a better survival trend that was maintained along a prolonged follow-up time, as exemplified by the survival curves.\textsuperscript{26} Also, no IBR group was characterized by unfavorable prognostic factors, including a higher number of metastatic lymph nodes. Taken together, the clinical data in the neoadjuvant and adjuvant setting favor the attitude to perform an IBR intervention, and not confirm preclinical evidence that points toward an augmented risk of relapse linked to breast reconstruction. IBR after mastectomy does not affect the overall survival and the incidence of surgical site infection in the IBR after mastectomy is higher than that in the mastectomy alone. The limitations of this study were failure to check disease-free survival, and incidence of local recurrence. It is recommended that these cases to be considered in future studies.
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