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DEAR EDITOR

Burn is one of the devastating conditions in emergency medicine resulting into physical and psychological disabilities.1 During pregnancy, it has an increasing trend for mortality and morbidity of both mother and infant.2 So practical activities to decrease its physical and emotional complications seem mandatory.2 For survivors, the most persisting problem is scarring while healing is a complex process including inflammation, granulation, and remodeling of the tissue.3

Silver sulfadiazine was reported as the gold standard in topical burn therapy with antibacterial properties.4 There may be the resistance of several bacteria to silver sulfadiazine. So, there is a need for new agents for treatment of burn wounds with less adverse effects and more efficacy.4 The medicinal herbals were extensively used in wound healing of burn injuries.5-9

Elderly patients are more at risk of burn, due to physiological limitations caused by aging, and the immune system problems.10 Most of these patients usually have a history of diseases such as cardiovascular, pulmonary, renal and that is because they are subjecting at high risk of burn side effect like deformity, septic shock and reducing the healing time too.10

The enrolled population were of both elderly and non-elderly patients, of both male and female genders referring to Mottahari Burn center in Tehran, Iran. The questionnaires was completed by burn specialist. The reliability was confirmed by Cronbach's alpha of 84.0 and statistically analyzed.

As Table 1 shows, the 60-70 and 12-30 years old patients were the most susceptible age groups and were mostly male. The majority of elderlies lived in Tehran and in non-elderly from other cities. Most of patients in elderly group were illiterate and married. They were mostly unemployed and suffered from poor economic situation. Most of elderly patients had no history of diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, hyperlipidemia, asthma, some degrees of visual problem and hearing losses. While in the non-elderly group, most of patients did not have any history of those diseases except for hypertension, diabetes and mental illnesses.

As Table 1 demonstrates, most burn time in elderly patients were in the evening and in non-elderly patients in the morning and noon time. The burn season in both elderly patients and non-elderly patients was in the winter and then in the fall for both groups. For most of burn patients, the waiting time for help was about an hour. In the elderly patients, hot liquids and gas were the
causative agents for burn injuries, while in non-elderly group was gasoline and hot liquids. An accident was the major cause of burn inflict and then self-suicides. The degree of burns in elderly patients was grade 2 and 3 while in non-elderly patients was semi-deep or deep. The majority of burns in the elderly patients was in the trunk while in the non-elderly group was in the arms.

The majority of burn patients in both elderly and non-elderly group were married, unemployed and were in poor economic class and with low educational level. Our findings are in consistent with Cutillas et al. (1998) in southwestern France on 716 patients. They showed more prevalence in males and mostly at homes. Most burns occurred in urban or suburban areas and the mortality was higher among patients living in urban areas. In another study in the Netherlands on 94 patients aged over 60 years, it was shown that female burn patients were more and mostly at home. Most burns occurred in the limbs and trunk. The average hospitalization time was 1.34±1.30 days and 59% of the patients had a history of previous disease. Bortolani and Barisoni (2007) showed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Non-elderly</th>
<th>Elderly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male 46.3%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female 53.7%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>30-41 42.3%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40-41 28.1%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50-59 17.9%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60-69 11.5%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70-79  -</td>
<td>9.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80-89  -</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90-99  -</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Above 99  -</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of living</td>
<td>Tehran 38.2%</td>
<td>59.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City 47.8%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Village 13.9%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>Single 4%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Married 45.6%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Divorced 10.6%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Widow 0%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Illiterate 2.9%</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elementary 50.9%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diploma 40.3%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher 5.7%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>Retired 1.1%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employed 17.1%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private 32.1%</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housewife 49.7%</td>
<td>49.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economical status</td>
<td>Good 4%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average 38.9%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor 57.1%</td>
<td>64.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of living</td>
<td>Owner 53.8%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elderly house 0%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>With children 2.6%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hired 43.6%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living situation</td>
<td>Alone 0.4%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>With husband 45.6%</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>With child 11.2%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Else 42.8%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>Have 97.4%</td>
<td>72.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not having 2.6%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Demographic data of burn patients.
that of 386 burn cases, 53 patients were older than 60 years old. Most injuries were because of hot liquids, and then gas explosion.12

Considering more infliction of burn injuries in elderly, honoring the elderly, improving their quality of life, improving the economic, social and psychological condition of the elderly should have a priority for governmental officials. There is a much more need for taking care of elderly burn patients that may be susceptible to mortality based on their previous diseases.
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