

From Reconstructive Triangle to Reconstructive Quadrangle: Time to Include Tissue Distraction in the Reconstructive Algorithms

Muhammad Saaig*, Bushra Ashraf

Department of Plastic Surgery and Burns,
Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences, Shahid
Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Medical University,
Islamabad, Pakistan

DEAR EDITOR

Plastic surgeons are surgical artists and innovators who constantly strive for innovative solutions to the ever challenging management of both congenital and acquired defects and deformities.¹⁻³ Historically reconstructive ladder was described as the standard algorithmic approach to choose the most appropriate solution in this regard. The emphasis was on adopting a logical approach of moving from simpler to more sophisticated option of undertaking reconstructive procedure for a particular defect.⁴ The concepts of micro-leap, reconstructive elevator and inclusion of vacuum assisted closure in the ladder later came in to incorporate useful modifications in the reconstructive ladder.^{5,6}

The concept of reconstructive triangle is relatively more recent one and it encompasses tissue expansion, flap transposition and free tissue transfer.⁷ Distraction osteogenesis (DO) and the related concepts of soft tissue distraction, distraction lengthening and distraction augmentation⁸ are now very much in vogue in the developed centers of plastic surgery and very rightly deserve to be added to the reconstructive triangle, making it a reconstructive quadrangle.

The DO was originally popularized by Ilizarov⁹ for long bones, McCarthy¹⁰ later on employed it for craniofacial surgery, and now its use finds its way across a range of other indications in reconstructive surgery. The distraction has the potential to replace many previously established techniques and standardized management protocols. e.g. Bavo Bra in breast reconstruction and distraction augmentation manoplasty (DAM) for congenital hand defects etc.¹¹

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Please cite this paper as:

Saaig M, Ashraf B. From Reconstructive Triangle to Reconstructive Quadrangle: Time to Include Tissue Distraction in the Reconstructive Algorithms. *World J Plast Surg* 2015;5(2):185-186.

REFERENCES

- 1 Saaig M. Vacuum-assisted closure therapy as a pretreatment for split thickness skin grafts. *J Coll Physic Surg Pak* 2010;20:675-9.

*Corresponding Author:

Muhammad Saaig, MD, MBBS, FCPS;
Assistant Professor of Department of
Plastic Surgery and Burns,
Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences,
Shahid Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Medical
University,
Islamabad, Pakistan
Tel: +92-341-5105173

E-mail: muhammadsaaig5@gmail.com

Received: April 30, 2015

Revised: August 25, 2015

Accepted: September 24, 2015

- 2 Saaq M. Tissue expansion: a valuable adjunct to reconstructive surgery. *Ann Pak Inst Med Sci* 2013;**9**:55-6.
- 3 Saaq M. VAC therapy: a valuable adjunct to wound care armamentarium. *Ann Pak Inst Med Sci* 2012;**8**:1-2.
- 4 Levin LS. The reconstructive ladder: an orthoplastic approach. *Orthop Clin North Am* 1993;**24**:393-409.
- 5 Janis JE, Kwon RK, Attinger CE. The new reconstructive ladder: modifications to the traditional model. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2011;**127**:205-12.
- 6 Tintle SM, Levin LS. The reconstructive microsurgery ladder in orthopaedics. *Injury* 2013;**44**:376-85.
- 7 Mathes SJ, Nahai F. Reconstructive surgery. 1997. Karen Berger. USA. pp 10-12.
- 8 Patterson TW, Seitz Jr WH. Nonvascularised toe phalangeal transfer and distraction lengthening for symbrachydactyly. *J Hand Surg Am* 2010;**35**:652-8.
- 9 Ilizarov GA, Deviatov AA. Surgical lengthening of the shin with simultaneous correction of deformities. *Ortop Travmatol Protez* 1969;**30**:32-7.
- 10 McCarthy JG, Schreiber J, Karp N, Thome CH, Grayson BH. Lengthening the human mandible by gradual distraction. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1992;**89**:1-8.
- 11 Smith CJ, Khouri RK, Baker TJ. Initial experience with the Brava nonsurgical system of breast enhancement. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2002;**110**:1593-5.